

## REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to call for sharing a browser section between at least two clients, one of the clients being on the server side of a client server communication protocol. Support for the amendment to claim 1 may be found, for example, in connection with page 4, lines 9-14.

In each instance in the cited reference, two browsers on the client side are utilized. For example, with regard to the discussion of conventional technologies at column 4, lines 50 *et seq.*, a proxy server is used to enable communication between "two computer user browsers." Thus, both browsers are associated with the user and neither is on the server side of that client server communication protocol.

Similarly, in an actual embodiment of the cited reference, for example at column 13, lines 6 *et seq.*, multiple browsers on the client side are coupled to the client server communication protocol.


Thus, none of the art teaches providing a shared session involving a client on the server side and a client on the client side.

The objections to the form of claims 2-6 have been corrected.

In view of these remarks, the application should now be in condition for allowance and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 27, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]